

EAIA.	OURT JUDGE KI	UNITED STATES DISTRICT (NORTHERN DISTRICT OF IL
-MAELTA	INOIS	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF IL
IIIDAR u	MAGISTRATE	UNITED STATES DISTRICT (NORTHERN DISTRICT OF IL EASTERN DIVISION

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA)	No.	06 CR	0507
VS.))		tion: Title 18, Uni , Section 1001(a)(
JULIE HARRIS)			FILED
	COUNT	ONE	3.1	2000

The SPECIAL SEPTEMBER 2005 GRAND JURY charges:

MICHAEL W. DOBBINS CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT

- 1. At times material to this indictment:
- a. The United States Department of Housing and Urban Development ("HUD") funded a Housing Choice Voucher ("HCV") program to assist eligible families in obtaining decent, safe and sanitary housing. HCV benefits included assistance in paying expenses for rent and utilities.
- b. In Chicago, Illinois, the HCV program was administered by CHAC, Inc., a private company operating under contract with the Chicago Housing Authority.
- c. To enroll in the HCV program, individuals and families were required to submit an application demonstrating, among other factors, that their household income fell below certain thresholds for the Chicago metropolitan area. To remain in the program, participants were required to complete annually an Application for Continued Occupancy which required participants to list household incomes. The Applications for Continued Occupancy were submitted to CHAC, Inc. and the application forms stated that "false statements or information are punishable under Federal Law."

2. On or about October 8, 2001, at Chicago, in the Northern District of Illinois, Eastern Division,

JULIE HARRIS,

defendant herein, knowingly and willfully did make a false, fictitious, and fraudulent statement, representation, and omission of a material fact in a matter within the jurisdiction of HUD, an agency of the United States government, in that defendant falsely represented in an Application for Continued Occupancy that no member of her household was employed, when in fact, as defendant then and there knew, she was employed by Walsh Construction Company, and earned wages of approximately \$28,563;

COUNT TWO

The SPECIAL SEPTEMBER 2005 GRAND JURY further charges:

- 1. The allegations in paragraph 1 of Count One of this indictment are hereby realleged and incorporated as if fully set forth herein.
- 2. On or about September 13, 2002, at Chicago, in the Northern District of Illinois, Eastern Division,

JULIE HARRIS,

defendant herein, knowingly and willfully did make a false, fictitious, and fraudulent statement, representation, and omission of a material fact in a matter within the jurisdiction of HUD, an agency of the United States government, in that defendant falsely represented in an Application for Continued Occupancy that no member of her household was employed, when in fact, as defendant then and there knew, she was employed by Walsh Construction Company, and earned wages of approximately \$27,195;

COUNT THREE

The SPECIAL SEPTEMBER 2005 GRAND JURY further charges:

- 1. The allegations in paragraph 1 of Count One of this indictment are hereby realleged and incorporated as if fully set forth herein.
- 2. On or about September 15, 2003, at Chicago, in the Northern District of Illinois, Eastern Division,

JULIE HARRIS,

defendant herein, knowingly and willfully did make a false, fictitious, and fraudulent statement, representation, and omission of a material fact in a matter within the jurisdiction of HUD, an agency of the United States government, in that defendant falsely represented in an Application for Continued Occupancy that no member of her household was employed, when in fact, as defendant then and there knew, she was employed by Walsh Construction Company and EA Cox Construction Company, and earned total wages of approximately \$47,831;

COUNT FOUR

The SPECIAL SEPTEMBER 2005 GRAND JURY further charges:

1. The allegations in paragraph 1 of Count One of this indictment are hereby

realleged and incorporated as if fully set forth herein.

2. On or about September 23, 2004, at Chicago, in the Northern District of

Illinois, Eastern Division,

JULIE HARRIS,

defendant herein, knowingly and willfully did make a false, fictitious, and fraudulent

statement, representation, and omission of a material fact in a matter within the jurisdiction

of HUD, an agency of the United States government, in that defendant falsely represented

in an Application for Continued Occupancy that no member of her household was employed,

when in fact, as defendant then and there knew, she was employed by Walsh Construction

Company, EA Cox Construction Company, Lorig Construction Company, and DeGraf

Construction Company, and earned total wages of approximately \$22,205;

	A TRUE BILL:
	FOREPERSON
INITED STATES ATTODNEY	